

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

ERIC TILL, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	CIVIL ACTION
)	NO. 09-cv-1795
)	
SPRINT SOLUTIONS, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 30th day of March 2010, upon consideration of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint [docket entry No. 15], Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint [docket entry No. 17], Defendants' Reply Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint [docket entry No. 20], Plaintiffs' Sur-Reply Brief in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint [docket entry No. 23], and for reasons set forth in the attached Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion is **GRANTED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ, J.